UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

LORENZO ANALCO ARELLANO,

Plaintiff,

vs.

R&J SUPERMARKET CORP. d/b/a LA ROCA SUPERMARKET, and JOSE NOLASCO, individually,

Defendants.

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

Plaintiff LORENZO ANALCO ARELLANO ("Plaintiff" or "Arellano"), by and through his attorneys, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, brings this Collective Action Complaint against Defendants R&J SUPERMARKET CORP. d/b/a LA ROCA SUPERMARKET, ("La Roca" or "corporate Defendant"), JOSE NOLASCO ("Nolasco"), individually, (collectively, "Defendants"), and alleges as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit seeking recovery against Defendants for Defendants' violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended (the "FLSA" or the "Act"), 29 U.S.C.§201 et. seq. and the New Jersey State Wage and Hour Law, N.J.S.A. 34:11-56a et seq. ("NJWHL").
- 2. Plaintiff brings this lawsuit against Defendants as a collective action on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated –grocery store employees who suffered damages for damages as a result of Defendants' violations of the FLSA pursuant to the collective action provisions of 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's FLSA claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
 § 1331 and by 29 U.S.C.
 § 216(b).
- **4.** This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's NJWHL claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1332 and 1367.
- **5.** Venue is proper in the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims occurred in this district.
- **6.** At all times material hereto, Plaintiff performed non-exempt stocking and cleaning duties for the Defendants in Union City, Hudson County, New Jersey. Defendants are therefore within the jurisdiction and venue of this Court.
- 7. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, the corporate Defendant, La Roca, was and is an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce or in the production of interstate goods for commerce as defined by the Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s). Defendants operate a retail grocery store, buying and selling products that move through interstate commerce.
 Alternatively, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees worked in interstate commerce, i.e., using the tools and products which moved through interstate channels so as to produce an end product for Defendants' consumers. Thus, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees fall within the protections of the Act.

PARTIES

- 8. Plaintiff Arellano is an adult individual who is a resident of Union City, Hudson County, New Jersey.
- 9. Plaintiff Arellano was employed by Defendants full time as a non-exempt laborer, from in or about July, 2008, through in or about February, 2018.

- 10. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendants employ individuals to perform labor services on behalf of the Defendants.
- 11. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, the Defendants' annual gross volume of sales made or business done was not less than \$500,000.00.
- 12. At all times relevant to this Complaint, the corporate Defendant is and was an employer engaged in commerce under the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 U.S.C. § 201 et seq.]
- 13. Upon information and belief, Defendant Nolasco, is a New Jersey state resident.
- 14. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual
 Defendant Nolasco has been an owner, partner, officer and/or manager of the Defendant La
 Roca.
- 15. Upon information and belief, at all times relevant to this Complaint, individual

 Defendant Nolasco has had power over personnel decisions at the Defendants' business,
 including the management of day to day operations, control over employee pay practices and
 the power to change same, and the power to hire and fire employees, set their wages, and
 otherwise control the terms of their employment.

FACTS

- 16. Based upon the information preliminarily available, and subject to discovery in this cause, from in or about July, 2008, until in or about February, 2018, Defendants did not properly compensate Plaintiff for all regular hours he worked and for all overtime hours he worked in a work week.
- 17. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff Arellano was paid approximately \$425.00 to \$495.00 per workweek.

- 18. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff Arellano routinely worked six (6) to seven (7) days per week.
- 19. During the relevant time period, Plaintiff Arellano regularly worked approximately sixty-six (66) to seventy-seven (77) hours per week.
- 20. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff was not paid minimum wage as prescribed by the federal law or the laws of the state of New Jersey and further was not paid one-and-one half times the minimum wage for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) in each work week.
- 21. Upon information and belief, employees similarly situated to Plaintiff were also improperly compensated for their hours worked through forty (40) in a workweek and for those overtime hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in a work week, similarly to Plaintiff.
- 22. Defendants have engaged in a widespread pattern, policy, and practice of violating the FLSA and NJWHL, as described in this Complaint.
- 23. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff and all similarly situated employees, were performing their duties for the benefit of and on behalf of Defendants.
- 24. This cause of action is brought to recover from Defendants, overtime compensation, liquidated damages, and the costs and reasonable attorneys' fees under the provisions of 29 U.S.C. §216(b), as well as applicable provisions of NJWHL, on behalf of Plaintiff and all other current and former employees similarly situated during the material time.
- 25. The records, if any, concerning the number of hours worked by Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees, are in the possession and custody of Defendants.
- 26. The records, if any, concerning the compensation actually paid to Plaintiff and all other similarly situated employees, are in the possession and custody of Defendants.

- 27. At all times pertinent to this complaint, Defendants failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. §§ 201-209, as well as applicable provisions of the NJWHL, in that Plaintiff performed services and labor for Defendants for which Defendants made no provision to pay Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees compensation to which they were lawfully entitled for all of their hours worked and for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) within a work week.
- 28. The additional persons who may become Plaintiffs in this action are Defendants' non-exempt employees who were not properly compensated for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours in one or more work periods, on or after January 21, 2016.
- 29. Plaintiff has retained the law office of Jaffe Glenn Law Group, P.A. to represent him individually and incurred attorneys' fees and costs in bringing this action. Pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216(b), Plaintiff is entitled to recovery of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF MINIMUM WAGE COMPENSATION PURSUANT TO THE FLSA

- 30. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates here by reference, all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 29 above.
- 31. Plaintiff is entitled to be paid additional compensation for each of her hours worked per work period.
- 32. All similarly situated employees of the Defendants are similarly owed their minimum wage rate of pay for each and every hour they worked and were not properly paid.
- 33. Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff and the other auto salesmen similarly situated to him in accordance with minimum wage laws for their hours worked in a work week.

- 34. By reason of the said intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees have suffered damages plus incurring costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 35. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of the Act, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees are entitled to liquidated damages.

COUNT II RECOVERY OF MINIMUM WAGE COMPENSATION PURSUANT TO THE NJWHL

- 36. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations set forth in the preceding paragraphs.
- 37. Pursuant to New Jersey Statutes §§ 34:11-56a4 et seq., every employer shall pay to each of his employees wages at a rate of not less than the minimum wage.
- 38. In violation of New Jersey Statutes §§ 34:11-56a4 et seq., the Defendants willfully failed to pay the Plaintiff and other similarly situated employees their statutorily required minimum wage compensation for all they hours worked for the Defendants.

COUNT III RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION PURSUANT TO THE FLSA

- 39. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates here by reference, all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 38 above.
- 40. Plaintiffs are entitled to be paid additional compensation for each overtime hour worked per work week.
- 41. Defendants knowingly and willfully failed to pay Plaintiff at time and one half of his regular rate of pay for his overtime hours worked in a work week.

- 42. All similarly situated employees of the Defendants are also owed overtime pay for each and every overtime hour they worked and were not properly paid.
- 43. By reason of the said intentional, willful, and unlawful acts of Defendants, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees have suffered damages plus incurring costs and reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 44. As a result of Defendants' willful violations of the Act, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees are entitled to liquidated damages.

COUNT IV RECOVERY OF OVERTIME WAGES PURSUANT TO THE NJWHL

- 45. Plaintiff re-alleges, and incorporates here by reference, all allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 44 above.
- 46. Defendants' aforementioned conduct is in violation of the NJWHL.
- 47. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff and those similarly situated employees suffered damages, including but not limited to past lost earnings.

JURY TRIAL

48. Plaintiff and similarly situated employees demand a jury trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, LORENZO ANALCO ARELLANO, and those similarly situated employees, demand judgment, against Defendants R&J SUPERMARKET CORP., d/b/a LA ROCA SUPERMARKET, and JOSE NOLASCO, individually, for the payment of compensation for all hours due them and all overtime hours due them, for which they have not been properly compensated, liquidated damages, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit, and for all other appropriate relief.

Dated: January 21, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

/s Andrew I. Glenn

Andrew I. Glenn

E-mail: AGlenn@JaffeGlenn.com New Jersey Bar No.: 026491992

Jodi J. Jaffe

E-mail: JJaffe@JaffeGlenn.com New Jersey Bar No.: 022351993 JAFFE GLENN LAW GROUP, P.A. 301 N. Harrison Street, Ste9F,#306 Princeton, New Jersey 08540

Telephone: (201) 687-9977 Facsimile: (201) 595-0308 Attorneys for Plaintiff